

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/782,036	HINDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki. (3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Schmidt. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 103

Claims discussed:

1-5, 8, 10-23 and 25-32

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 4-12-04, examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative commenting that since application 09/782036 is in scanning and that the fee history for 09/782036 indicates that a two month extension of time was paid on 3-19-04, it appears that an after final amendment has been filed but is not yet available in the Image File Wrapper. Applicant's representative confirmed that an after final amendment was filed. In order to expedite consideration of the after final amendment, examiner suggested faxing a copy of the after final amendment to the PTO. On 4-12-04, applicant's representative faxed a copy of the after final amendment filed 3-19-04 to the PTO (see Interview Summary Attachment - copy of after final amendment filed 3-19-04). On 4-15-04, examiner informed applicant's representative that the faxed copy of the after final amendment has been considered, the after final amendment would be entered and the 112 second paragraph rejection withdrawn. However, examiner informed applicant's representative that the 103 rejection would be maintained.